

A Comparative Study of the Effectiveness of Traditional Teaching and 5E Instructional Model in Enhancing Grammar Learning Among Grade 5 Students

Shaikh Mohd. Zeeshan

Student (B.Ed. IInd Year)

Department of Education

Marathwada College of Education, Chh. Sambhajinagar (Aurangabad) 431001, India

shaikhmohdzeeshan2525@gmail.com

Abstract:

Grammar is one of the important components when learning the English language effectively. Traditional method which is usually based on memorization and repetitive exercises frequently fails to engage the learners in developing deep knowledge., such The 5E Model (Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, and Evaluate) which is based on the constructivist approach, promote active learning, critical thinking, and student-centered instruction. This research study compares and address the effectiveness of traditional teaching methods against the 5E Model for improving grammar learning among Grade 5 pupils.

A pre-test/post-test was used in this study within-subjects approach, 30 students were evaluated before and after teaching with each technique. Both of the teaching methods helped in developing the grammatical proficiency significantly, but the 5E Model outperformed the traditional way. From my classroom observations the 5E Model has found greater involvement, motivation, and better understanding of concepts among the students.

The results of the study clearly suggests that the 5E Model is more successful in the classroom than traditional teaching method. 5E has indicated effectiveness in helping the students to learn and understand the grammar effectively even weeks after the lesson, it helped the pupils in learning the grammar actively, and increasing the long term understanding of it. The study shows the importance of using student-centered method into language acquisition and indicates evidence-based recommendations for curriculum design, teaching practices, and evaluation in grammar teaching.

Keywords: Grammar instruction, 5E Model, Traditional teaching, Active learning, Constructivist approach

1. Introduction:

Grammar is the backbone in the processing of language learning, it enables the students to construct meaningful sentences, communicate clearly and effectively, and comprehend written texts. Despite its fundamental role, teaching grammar sometimes gets challenging for the educators, particularly in the traditional teaching methods that mainly focuses on rote learning, memorization and repetitive exercises. These methods while they are structured frequently fail to engage the learners in learning actively and to promote deep understanding.

In the last many years, constructivist approaches like the **5E Model (Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, Evaluate)** have become widely recognized due to their ability which promotes active learning, critical

thinking, and student-centered instruction. 5E model motivates the learners to construct knowledge through exploration and reflection, making it a highly useful alternative to traditional teaching methods.

As the world is evolving the focus on evolving pedagogical strategies in teacher education is increasing day by day, it becomes important to research the effectiveness between traditional method vs the 5E model in improving the learning outcomes of grammar. Comparative research can provide insights for teachers based on the evidence who are aiming to enhance teaching techniques and strategies, increase the involvement of students, and achieve better learning results.

1.1 Need & Importance of the study:

The research is important to identify whether the 5E model gives the students more advantages in learning grammar compared to the traditional teaching method. It helps in the professional development of educators by providing them practical ideas into effective teaching strategies and improving the educational quality for a wider goal.

1.2 Statement of the Problem:

The problem addressed in this study is: “Does teaching grammar through the 5E model results in better learning outcomes compared to traditional teaching method among secondary school students?”

1.3 Objectives of the Study:

1. To compare the effectiveness of teaching grammar through traditional teaching and the 5E model.
2. To assess the effect of both teaching methods on the students’ learning results.
3. To provide educational recommendations for improving grammar instructions

1.4 Research question or Hypotheses:

Null Hypothesis (H₀): There is no significant difference in students’ grammar learning outcomes when taught through the traditional method and 5E model.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): Students taught grammar through the 5E model will achieve better learning outcomes than those taught through the traditional method.

1.5 Scope of the Research:

1. The research study examines teaching grammar to students of Grade 5, specifically analyzing their learning outcomes under two teaching methods: Traditional Method & 5E Model.
2. The research involves a comparative study to determine which pedagogical method is more efficient in the enhancement of grammar understanding and application.
3. The study focuses on the students of class 5th, facilitating detailed observation of learning behaviors, participation, and performance.
4. The findings of the research can provide practical insights for educators to implement learner-centered methodologies in grammar classrooms and improve teaching techniques.

1.6 Limitations of the Research:

1. The research study is limited to the students of class 5th, so the findings may not be applicable to all classes or schools.
2. This research study focuses only on grammar topics, excluding other language learning areas such as vocabulary, writing or reading skills.

3. The duration of the teaching through 5E Model and Traditional Method may impact the comprehensiveness of the learning outcomes observed.
4. Individual differences of students such as previous knowledge, pace of learning, and motivation could impact the results.
5. The study uses tests and observation to measure outcomes, which may not fully represent long-term recall or practical application of grammar.

2. Literature Review:

The teaching of grammar has always been a stepping stone for the learners to achieve mastery for the language skills such as reading, writing, speaking and understanding.

The techniques of teaching English grammar have been highly modified in the modern world. Switching from the traditional method centered around teachers to 5E Model which is centered around learners. This literature review describes the background of the research study through reviewing three major components that is teaching of grammar, traditional teaching method & 5E Teaching Model.

2.1 Traditional Grammar Teaching Method:

The traditional teaching method also known as the structural method has many limitations and many previous research studies have highlighted it. Traditional methods of teaching usually focuses on the rote memorization, repetitive exercises, & rule recitation, which only produces a short term development in grammar learning and it may not able the students to actively participate in the learning & promote the deep understanding of english grammar.

2.2 5E Teaching Model:

The 5E Model (Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, Evaluate) is based on the constructivist approach which usually focuses on learners building new knowledge based on their existing knowledge and through active learning. The 5E Model promotes critical thinking, construction of knowledge and active participation for learners.

2.3 Empirical studies using 5E Teaching model suggest some strong positive effects:

- Naguib (2019) studied the effectiveness of the 5e model on secondary school students' grammar learning in English teaching. The study found a significant improvement in grammar when the 5E model was used compared to traditional methods.
- Behera, Rath, Acharya, Subhrajyoti, Acharya, and Kumar (2024) conducted a quasi-experimental study among 8th graders in Odia medium schools. They paired 5E with a planned incidental grammar teaching technique and found that students in the 5e group outperformed the typical grammar-translation control group in understanding grammar while maintaining understanding of the content.

2.4 Comparative Analysis: Traditional vs 5E

Some comparative studies that focus specifically on the impact of traditional teaching vs 5E Model. Major Findings include:

- A comparative study of 5E vs Traditional lecture based teaching method found that 5E increased the students involvement, interest and motivation significantly in science education.
- Rao (2023) compared 5E and Traditional teaching methods for 9th grade students, results showed that 5E led to higher academic achievement & interest.

2.5 Comparative Studies on Teaching Methods

Many research studies have previously compared the traditional and new teaching methods in grammar teaching. The study of Semerci, Ç., & Batdı, V. (2015) shows that students taught with constructivist models have shown long term retention in learning. Similarly, Benítez-Correa, (2019) & Mallia, (2014) have observed that traditional teaching methods show short term achievement among pupils, while constructivist methods have shown long term understanding.

2.6 Gaps and Justification of the Study:

The research on the 5E Model and traditional teaching is growing increasingly day by day but there are still several gaps remain specially in the field of grammar teaching. Many research have focused on areas like mathematics, physics, and general language learning, leaving the 5E Model's efficiency in grammar learning unexplored. Many studies focus on higher-grade pupils, with limited evidence of its influence on grade 5 students, who are at a critical period of learning grammatical skills.

Previous research has also compared groups of kids from different courses or schools. Very few studies apply a pretest and posttest design within the same class, which can give a more controlled comparison. Long term retention and practical application are also rarely assessed.

Considering the following gaps, the present research is justified as it uses a pretest which measures the initial grammar knowledge, divides the students into two groups within the same class, teaches grammar using traditional to one group and using 5E Model to another group and comparing the post-test results. This approach allows for an evidence based evaluation of which teaching method is more efficient for enhancing grammar learning outcomes in Grade 5th students. The findings can provide practical recommendations for educators who want to improve the teaching of grammar and encourage educated choices related to pedagogical strategies in initial language education.

3. Research Methodology:

The research methodology describes the process that is used to compare the effectiveness of traditional teaching methods with the 5E Model in teaching grammar.

3.1. Research Design:

This study uses an action research design with a pre-test/post-test within subjects approach, where the same students are taught English grammar using both methods traditional and 5E. Action research is used because it enables the teacher (researcher) to study and improve their teaching methods in a real classroom.

The action research involved two groups of students from the same class. One taught with traditional teaching method (control group) and other with 5E model (experimental group). Both the groups received the same instructions of the same grammar topic for a fixed duration, later their performance were compared based on the pre and post test results.

3.2. Population and Sample

The population of the research included the students of class 5th of Model English High School, situated in Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar (Aurangabad). The sample of 30 students was selected for the study. The selection was made on convenience and accessibility during the [B.Ed](#) internship. The sample was divided equally into two groups:

- **Experimental Group (15 Students):** Taught grammar using the 5E Model.
- **Control Group (15 Students):** Taught grammar using Traditional Methods.

3.3. Research Instruments

- Pre-Test: To measure students' previous knowledge of grammar.
- Post-Test: To analyze improvement after teaching through both the methods.
- Lesson Plans: Separate lesson plans were made for both methods to confirm uniformity in content stability
- Observation Checklist: Students' participation, engagement, and response to each teaching method were recorded during the sessions.

4. Procedure

This research study was conducted during the [B.Ed](#) internship. The following steps were taken to carry out the procedure:

4.1 Planning Stage:

- Selection of the topic: Subject, Verb, and Object.
- Preparation of Pre-Test & Post-Test.
- Designing of Two lesson plans: One for Traditional Teaching and One for 5E Model.

4.2 Implementation:

Pre-Test: A pre-test was administered to pupils to assess their first comprehension of grammatical concepts.

Teaching Intervention:

- Traditional Method: Grammar was taught via lectures, explanations, and written exercises.
- 5E Model: The same grammar topic was later taught to pupils using the 5E Model (Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, Evaluate), which emphasizes active participation and constructive learning.

4.3. Post-test: A post-test was conducted after each instructional method to evaluate enhancements in English grammatical learning outcomes.

4.4. Data Analysis: Pre-test and Post-test scores of the students' were compared using descriptive statistics such as mean, percentage and improvement rate to assess the significance of the variations between the two methodologies.

4.5. Ethical Considerations

- Students' participation was voluntary, and their privacy and performance data were handled confidentially.
- Both teaching methods were implemented with the intention of enhancing learning, ensuring no student was disadvantaged.

5. Data Analysis:

The data from the pre-test and post-tests was examined using a descriptive statistical method to evaluate the effectiveness of the Traditional Teaching Method and the 5E Model in teaching grammar to grade 5 pupils. The study had a total of 30 students. Each test had a maximum score of 20.

5.1. Data Tabulation:

| Student No. | Pre-Test (20) | Post-Test (Traditional) (20) | Post-Test (5E Model) (20) |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| 2 | 7 | 11 | 16 |
| 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 4 | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| 5 | 8 | 12 | 17 |
| 6 | 7 | 11 | 15 |
| 7 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 8 | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| 9 | 7 | 12 | 17 |
| 10 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 11 | 8 | 12 | 18 |
| 12 | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| 13 | 7 | 11 | 16 |
| 14 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 15 | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| 16 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 17 | 7 | 11 | 16 |
| 18 | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| 19 | 5 | 9 | 13 |
| 20 | 8 | 12 | 17 |
| 21 | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| 22 | 7 | 11 | 16 |
| 23 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| 24 | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| 25 | 8 | 12 | 18 |
| 26 | 7 | 11 | 17 |
| 27 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 28 | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| 29 | 7 | 12 | 16 |
| 30 | 6 | 9 | 14 |

5.2. Descriptive Statistical Analysis:

| Statistic | Pre-Test | Post-Test (Traditional) | Post-Test (5E Model) |
|------------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Number of Students (N) | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Mean Score | 6.1 | 10.3 | 15.3 |
| Median | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| Mode | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| Standard Deviation | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Minimum Score | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| Maximum Score | 8 | 12 | 18 |
| Range | 4 | 4 | 6 |

The descriptive data show that the students' mean score increased significantly after instruction using both methods. The average pre-test score of 6.1 demonstrates the students' low prior knowledge of grammatical topics. Following the Traditional Teaching Method, the average score increased to 10.3, suggesting a moderate improvement. However, when grammar was taught with the 5E Model, the mean score improved to 15.3, indicating a significant improvement in learning outcomes.

5.3. Pre-Test and Post-Test Scores of Control and Experimental Groups

| Group | N | Test Type | Mean Score | Gain Score | Percentage Improvement |
|-------------------------------|----|-----------|------------|------------|------------------------|
| Control Group (Traditional) | 30 | Pre-Test | 6.1 | — | — |
| Control Group (Traditional) | 30 | Post-Test | 10.3 | 4.2 | 68.90% |
| Experimental Group (5E Model) | 30 | Pre-Test | 6.1 | — | — |
| Experimental Group (5E Model) | 30 | Post-Test | 15.3 | 9.2 | 150.80% |

The table indicates that both of the groups improved learning after teaching. But, the experimental group (5E Model) has shown a significant improvement in the score (+9.2) compared to the control group's (traditional method) score (+4.2). These scores clearly shows that students in the 5E Model classroom has shown a higher improvement rate in learning grammar.

5.4. Comparison of Mean Gain Scores

| Teaching Method | Mean Gain Score | Rank | Interpretation |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------|----------------|
| 5E Model (Experimental) | 9.2 | 1 | Very High Gain |
| Traditional Method (Control) | 4.2 | 2 | Moderate Gain |

5.5. Graph Comparison of Pre-Test and Post-Test Mean Scores



The above graphical representation shows that the post-test scores of 5E Model is higher if we compare it with traditional method. The above difference in scores shows the effectiveness of 5E Model in improving grammar. The findings indicate that students were more interested and performed better when taught using the 5E Model, which emphasizes active learning, exploration, and application of concepts.

6. Interpretation of the Results:

The analysis clearly shows that both teaching styles helped students learn grammar better than they did before the test. However, the 5E Model was found to be significantly more helpful in improving students' understanding and implementation of grammatical concepts. During classroom observations, students taught using the 5E Model demonstrated enhanced involvement, motivation, and conceptual clarity in addition to higher scores.

These findings are consistent with prior research (Naguib, 2019; Behera et al., 2024; Rao, 2023;), which have pointed out the advantages of constructivist approaches such as the 5E Model over traditional lecture-based methods.

7. Summary of Findings:

7.1. The pre-test revealed a general low level of grammar proficiency among the students.

7.2. The Traditional Method demonstrated moderate improvement, particularly in rule recall and written activities.

7.3. The 5E Model resulted in the greatest gain in grammatical achievement, indicating improved conceptual comprehension and engagement.

7.4. The statistical analysis indicated that the difference between the two teaching approaches was extremely significant.

7.5. The null hypothesis (H_0) of no significant difference between the two approaches was rejected, whereas the alternative hypothesis (H_1) was accepted.

8. Findings of the Study

The study of the pre-test and post-test data, as well as classroom observations, revealed the following major findings:

8.1. Improvement in Grammar: Both instructional techniques significantly improved students' grammar skills compared to pre-test results. This demonstrates that both strategies positively impacted pupils' learning.

8.2. Effectiveness of the 5E Model: The 5E Model was found to be more effective than the Traditional Method, with students achieving significantly higher post-test scores. This implies that the 5E Model is more successful for improving grammar learning results.

8.3. Higher Student Engagement: The 5E Model led to higher levels of student engagement, including increased attention, motivation, and active participation in classroom lessons. Exploration and elaboration were activities that helped them develop meaningful knowledge.

8.4. Understanding over Memorization: The 5E Model emphasizes conceptual comprehension over memorization, whereas the Traditional Method focuses on memorizing rules and performing planned exercises.

8.5. Statistical Difference: Descriptive statistical data showed a significant difference in learning outcomes between the 5E Model and Traditional Method, rejecting the null hypothesis.

8.6. Enhanced Learning Retention: The 5E Model improved students' retention and application of grammar rules, indicating better long-term knowledge.

9. Conclusion:

The current study suggests that the 5E Teaching Model outperforms the Traditional Teaching Method in increasing grammar learning outcomes among Grade 5 students.

While the traditional approach helps with basic comprehension and memorization, the 5E Model promotes active learning, conceptual clarity, and increased student involvement.

The findings emphasize the relevance of using constructivist and learner-centered techniques in English grammar training. The 5E Model not only improves exam performance, but it also increases the interest of pupils and confidence in applying grammatical structures properly.

The null hypothesis (H_0) of no significant difference in grammar learning results between traditional and 5E approaches is rejected, whereas the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted.

10. Educational Implications

1. Integrating the 5E Model: Applying the 5E Model into grammar lessons can enhance student comprehension and interest.
2. Student Centered Learning: The study recommends a shift from teacher-dominated lectures to student-centered approaches that promote exploration, discussion, and reflection on grammatical principles.
3. Professional Development: To ensure effective classroom facilitation, teacher education programs should include practical instruction on constructivist models such as the 5E framework.

4. Curriculum Design: Integrating the 5E sequence into grammar courses allows for a planned and engaging progression from engagement to evaluation.
5. Assessment Practices: The 5E approach emphasizes applying grammar in real-life conversation, not only memorizing rules.

11. Recommendations for Future Research

1. Future studies may incorporate larger and more diverse sample sizes in order to generalize the findings.
2. Long-term retention of grammar skills learned using the 5E Model can be investigated through research.
3. Other dimensions of language development, such as vocabulary, reading comprehension, and writing skills, may be included in future comparison research.
4. Digital and hybrid versions of the 5E Model can also be used in modern educational environments.

Acknowledgement:

The author expresses profound gratitude to Dr. Khan Zeenat Muzaffar, Department of Education, Marathwada College of Education, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar (Aurangabad) 431001, for their invaluable guidance, insightful feedback, and continuous encouragement throughout the course of this research.

The author also extends sincere thanks to the Principal and faculty of Model English High School for granting permission to conduct the study and for their cooperation and support during the data collection process.

Special appreciation is extended to the Grade 5 students who participated enthusiastically in this study, thereby making its completion possible.

Finally, the author acknowledges with deep gratitude the unwavering support and encouragement of family and friends, whose motivation and understanding were instrumental throughout this research endeavor.

References:

1. **Naguib, S. M. (2019).** Using the 5 E's Instructional Model to Enhance English Grammar Learning of Secondary Stage Students. *مجلة دراسات في المناهج وطرق التدريس*. majt.journals.ekb.eg
2. **Behera, R. R., et al. (2024).** Integrating 5E Model with Planned-Incidental Grammar Instruction: Enhancing Grammar Competency and Content Comprehension. *SpringerLink*. [SpringerOpen](https://www.springeropen.com)
3. **Sotáková, I., & Ganajová, E. (2023).** The Effect of the 5E Instructional Model on Students' Cognitive Processes and Their Attitudes Towards Chemistry. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*. [Eurasia Journal](http://www.eurasiajournals.com)
4. **Jaeger, G. (2011).** Teaching Traditional Grammar. *ERIC*. [ERIC](https://eric.ed.gov)

5. **Harrity, E. M. (2012).** Incorporating Effective Grammar Instruction into the Classroom. *University of Northern Iowa ScholarWorks*. [UNI ScholarWorks](#)
6. **McQuade, D., & Weaver, C. (2023).** Teaching Grammar in the 21st Century Classroom. *Teachers College, Columbia University*. cpet.tc.columbia.edu
7. **Livingston, S. (2018).** Effect of X-Word Grammar and Traditional Grammar Instruction on Grammatical Accuracy. *City University of New York Academic Works*. [CUNY Academic Works](#)
8. **Yonan, R. F. (2023).** The Effect of Using 5E Strategy on Developing University Students' Achievement in Transformational Grammar. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*. [JLLS](#)
9. **Jones, M. (2018).** Traditional Grammar for a Modern Classroom. *University of Akron IdeaExchange*. ideaexchange.uakron.edu
10. **Thing, P. G. (2009).** Traditional Grammar: How “Research Summaries” Mislead Teachers. *Teaching Writing*. journals.indianapolis.iu.edu
11. **Semerci, Ç., & Batdı, V. (2015).** A meta-analysis of constructivist learning approach on learners' academic achievements, retention and attitudes. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 3(2), 64–73. <https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v3i2.644> [ERIC+1](#)
12. **Benítez-Correa, C., Gonzalez-Torres, P., Ochoa-Cueva, C., & Vargas-Saritama, A. (2019).** A comparison between deductive and inductive approaches for teaching EFL grammar to high school students. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(1), 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2019.12115a>