A Comprehensive Literature Survey on Arrhythmia Detection Techniques: VLSI, Machine Learning, and Signal Processing Approaches

[1]Navyashree Ganpisetty, [2]Dr. Shalini Shravan

[1]Student R V Institute of Technology and Management, ECE , Bangalore, India [2]Assistant Professor R V Institute of Technology and Management, ECE, Bangalore, India

[1]nsg11703@gmail.com, [2]shalinishravan.rvitm@rvei.edu.in

***Abstract*— This literature survey provides a comprehensive analysis of various techniques employed for arrhythmia detection, categorized by their underlying methodologies including VLSI design, machine learning, signal processing, heart rate variability (HRV) analysis, and clinical applications. The study spans over two decades of research, highlighting the evolution of detection methods from traditional signal processing techniques to modern deep learning and hardware-accelerated solutions. Emphasis is placed on the accuracy, efficiency, and practicality of each approach, outlining their key contributions, benefits, and limitations. By comparing recent advancements with earlier frameworks, this survey aims to identify promising directions for future research in real-time and reliable arrhythmia detection systems.**

***Keywords*— Arrhythmia Detection, Electrocardiogram (ECG), VLSI Design, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Heart Rate Variability (HRV), Signal Processing, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Abnormal Heartbeat, Biomedical Engineering.**

I. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases remain the leading cause of mortality worldwide, with arrhythmias—abnormal heart rhythms—representing a significant subset of these conditions. Early and accurate detection of arrhythmias is vital for preventing severe complications such as stroke, heart failure, and sudden cardiac arrest. With the increasing prevalence of wearable health monitoring devices and the growing demand for real-time cardiac diagnostics, the field has witnessed a rapid evolution in detection methodologies, spanning hardware, algorithmic, and clinical domains.

Over the past two decades, research has diversified into multiple methodological approaches aimed at enhancing the precision, speed, and practicality of arrhythmia detection systems. Techniques ranging from traditional signal processing and heart rate variability (HRV) analysis to advanced machine learning and very-large-scale integration (VLSI) implementations have been proposed and tested. Each method offers unique advantages in terms of sensitivity, specificity, computational efficiency, and integration into healthcare infrastructure. However, challenges such as generalization across patient populations, susceptibility to noise, and resource constraints in embedded systems persist.

This paper presents a comprehensive literature survey that categorizes and examines various arrhythmia detection methodologies under four primary domains: hardware-accelerated systems using VLSI, machine learning and deep learning-based approaches, classical signal processing and HRV techniques, and clinically grounded innovations. By synthesizing the strengths and limitations of each approach, the paper aims to provide valuable insights for researchers, developers, and healthcare professionals working toward more effective and accessible arrhythmia monitoring solutions.

II. Literature Review

The field of arrhythmia detection has seen significant advancements, with a variety of methodologies being applied to improve accuracy, speed, and practicality in real-world scenarios. Based on a review of recent and foundational research, these techniques can be categorized into the following major domains: VLSI and hardware-accelerated systems, machine learning and deep learning approaches, signal processing and HRV analysis, and clinical and translational innovations. Each category represents a unique perspective and contribution to the broader goal of efficient cardiac anomaly detection.

1. VLSI and Hardware-Accelerated Systems

One of the growing trends in real-time arrhythmia detection is the integration of diagnostic algorithms into hardware platforms. This direction is prominently illustrated in the work of Y.-H. Chen et al. (2024), who introduced a Data-Shifting Neural Network (DSNN) implemented on a VLSI chip to enable fast and efficient heartbeat anomaly detection. The emphasis was on real-time performance and low power consumption, making the system viable for embedded medical devices.

Similarly, Y. Chen et al. (2023) took this approach further by deploying Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) directly onto VLSI chips. This resulted in high-speed abnormal heartbeat detection with improved accuracy, especially beneficial in scenarios requiring continuous patient monitoring. While these designs offer hardware efficiency, they often face limitations in adaptability due to their fixed architecture.

Earlier studies such as Y.-H. Chen and Y. Juan (2020) and Y.-L. Huang et al. (2020) also demonstrated CNN-based hardware accelerators focused on specific types of arrhythmia, like premature ventricular complexes. These solutions excel in compactness and speed but necessitate specialized manufacturing and offer limited flexibility in handling new or rare arrhythmia forms.

N. Bayasi et al. (2016) contributed a low-power ECG processor tailored for early ventricular arrhythmia prediction, reinforcing the trend of moving intelligence to edge devices. However, these designs often focus on specific arrhythmias and may not generalize well across a wide range of abnormalities.

2. Machine Learning and Deep Learning Approaches

Machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) methods have become central to modern arrhythmia detection due to their high accuracy and adaptability. S. S. BalaKrishna and B. S. Babu (2024) employed a CNN model for classifying arrhythmias from ECG signals. The model showed robust feature extraction capabilities, even in the presence of noise. However, like many DL models, it required a large volume of training data and substantial computational resources.

S. R. G. Sangeetha et al. (2023) applied Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) to noisy ECG signals and demonstrated consistent classification results across a variety of arrhythmic patterns. While ANNs are more interpretable than deep networks, they still face challenges in clinical explanation and transparency.

Another unique application was shown by P. P. Kashyap et al. (2024), who used a neural network to analyze heartbeat sounds instead of ECG signals. This non-invasive and audio-based classification method opens the door to simpler monitoring techniques but its accuracy is highly sensitive to the clarity of the acoustic signal.

M. Usman et al. (2023) also worked on a similar concept using deep learning with phonocardiography, yielding reliable detection performance. However, its susceptibility to ambient noise requires robust pre-processing techniques for field use.

3. Signal Processing and HRV Analysis

Traditional yet powerful, signal processing methods continue to play an important role, particularly in Heart Rate Variability (HRV) analysis. S.-W. Chen (2002) explored the use of wavelet transforms for analyzing HRV in detecting nonsustained ventricular tachycardia (VT). This method provided detailed frequency localization, ideal for transient signal patterns. Nevertheless, it necessitated fine-tuning of the wavelet parameters, which can be challenging for practical deployment.

S.-W. Chen and S.-C. Chao (2014) further contributed to this domain by proposing reweighted compressed sensing and integrate-and-fire pulse modeling (IPFM) techniques for HRV spectral analysis. These models are especially effective with unevenly sampled or sparse data, offering accurate insights into autonomic function. However, they can be computationally intensive and require expertise to implement.

In a more direct ECG processing method, S.-W. Chen et al. (2006) introduced a QRS detection algorithm that combined moving averages and wavelet denoising. This hybrid technique improved noise resistance and computation speed, vital for wearable monitors. It was further supported by time-delay methods from A. Amann et al. (2007) and hypothesis testing from J. Pardey (2007), both of which offered simple and real-time-friendly alternatives, though their performance was affected in complex arrhythmia scenarios.

4. Clinical and Translational Innovations

Beyond technical developments, some studies addressed the integration of arrhythmia detection within broader clinical or translational medicine frameworks. M. Mediouni et al. (2019, 2018) discussed the importance of aligning research innovations with clinical workflows, especially in orthopedics and broader translational contexts. Although not focused directly on cardiac signals, these works emphasize system-level implementation challenges.

In contrast, G. Kuo et al. (2018) investigated HRV as a prognostic tool in dialysis patients. This work highlighted the clinical relevance of HRV beyond arrhythmia detection, linking it to long-term outcomes and survival analysis in chronic care. Such studies underline the need for long-duration monitoring and validated metrics for effective healthcare delivery.

Q. Li et al. (2014) and S.-Y. Lee et al. (2015) contributed to making these systems more user-friendly and accessible. The former applied ML to classify ventricular fibrillation and tachycardia, achieving high sensitivity and adaptability. The latter developed a wireless ECG system suitable for continuous remote monitoring, addressing constraints like portability, signal range, and battery efficiency.

This literature survey illustrates the rich landscape of methodologies employed in arrhythmia detection. Hardware-accelerated techniques ensure real-time and low-power performance, machine learning models push the boundaries of accuracy and noise tolerance, while signal processing provides interpretability and fine temporal resolution. Lastly, clinical and translational research ensures these innovations are viable for real-world use. Each methodology contributes uniquely, and their convergence holds promise for more reliable, scalable, and patient-centered cardiac monitoring systems.[Table 1]

Table 1 : Summary of Research Papers on Abnormal Heartbeat Detection

Sl.No	Year	Author(s)	Description	Key Results	Advantages	Drawbacks
1	2024	Y.-H. Chen et al.	Designed a VLSI chip using Data-Shifting Neural Network (DSNN) for detecting irregular heartbeats.	Demonstrated real-time detection capabilities.	Hardware-optimized solution; efficient processing.	Limited flexibility for other arrhythmia types.
2	2024	S. S. BalaKrishna, B. S. Babu	Applied CNN for arrhythmia classification using ECG signals.	Achieved high classification accuracy	Strong feature extraction and noise tolerance.	Requires significant training data and computing power.
3	2024	P. P. Kashyap et al.	Developed a multi-class neural model to detect anomalies in heartbeat audio signals.	Successfully identified various heartbeat conditions.	Suitable for real-time applications; non-invasive.	Accuracy depends heavily on audio clarity.
4	2023	Y. Chen et al.	Implemented CNN in VLSI for real-time abnormal heartbeat detection.	Improved accuracy over traditional algorithms.	High-speed detection; energy-efficient chip.	Hardware design complexity.
5	2023	S. R. G. Sangeetha et al.	Used ANN for identifying cardiac arrhythmias from ECG signals.	Achieved consistent results in noisy environments.	Generalized well for various signal patterns.	Model interpretability remains limited.
6	2023	M. Usman et al.	Utilized deep learning with phonocardiography for anomaly detection.	Demonstrated high detection reliability.	Leverages low-cost acoustic sensing.	Sensitive to ambient noise interference.
7	2020	Y.-H. Chen, Y. Juan	Proposed VLSI implementation of CNN accelerator for abnormal heartbeat analysis.	Enabled efficient, on-chip processing.	Compact design; real-time monitoring.	Fixed architecture may limit adaptability.
8	2020	Y.-L. Huang et al.	Developed a CNN-based chip for detecting premature ventricular complexes.	Accurately detected premature beats.	Dedicated hardware for high-speed analysis.	Needs specialized chip manufacturing.
9	2019	M. Mediouni et al.	Discussed a novel orthopedic approach within translational medicine.	Proposed an integrated clinical model.	Bridges gap between research and practice.	Focus not directly on cardiac monitoring.
10	2018	G. Kuo et al.	Investigated heart rate variability (HRV) as a survival predictor in dialysis patients.	HRV found to correlate with patient longevity.	Clinical relevance in chronic care.	Requires long-term ECG monitoring.
11	2018	M. Mediouni et al.	Reviewed translational medicine frameworks for orthopedics.	Emphasized a need for system-level reforms.	Holistic perspective on care delivery.	Outside the domain of arrhythmia detection.
12	2016	N. Bayasi et al.	Created a low-power ECG processor for early arrhythmia prediction.	Successfully predicted ventricular arrhythmias.	Low power consumption; suitable for wearables.	Limited to certain types of arrhythmias.

13	2015	S.-Y. Lee et al.	Developed a wireless ECG acquisition system for body networks.	Enabled continuous health monitoring.	Compact; useful in remote health settings.	Battery life and signal range limitations.
14	2014	Q. Li et al.	Used ML for classifying ventricular fibrillation and tachycardia.	High sensitivity and specificity reported.	Adaptive to new patient data.	Requires model updates for accuracy maintenance.
15	2014	S.-W. Chen, S.-C. Chao	Proposed reweighted compressed sensing for HRV estimation.	Provided accurate spectral results.	Handles unevenly sampled signals well.	Computationally intensive.
16	2014	S.-W. Chen, S.-C. Chao	Employed compressed sensing with IPFM model for HRV.	Achieved reliable HRV spectral analysis.	Effective in sparse data environments.	Model complexity increases with data length.
17	2010	O. Sayadi et al.	Introduced a Bayesian framework for PVC detection.	Robust under noisy signal conditions.	Probabilistic modeling enhances accuracy.	Complex to implement in real-time systems.
18	2007	A. Amann et al.	Used time-delay methods for VF detection.	Effective in early detection of fibrillation.	Time-efficient method.	May underperform in non-linear ECG data.
19	2007	J. Pardey	Applied hypothesis testing for VF detection.	Showed high reliability with binary sequences.	Simple logic for real-time applications.	Performance drops with complex arrhythmias.
20	2006	S.-W. Chen et al.	Proposed QRS detection using moving average and wavelet denoising.	Enhanced real-time QRS detection accuracy.	Good noise removal; fast computation.	Sensitive to waveform variability.
21	2006	S.-W. Chen	Suggested complexity-based hypothesis testing for arrhythmia detection.	Accurate and real-time capable.	Works well in embedded systems.	May struggle with irregular arrhythmias.
22	2004	P. de Chazal et al.	Developed ECG classification using morphology and interval features.	Achieved reliable beat classification.	Effective for ECG signal variety.	Training required for different morphologies.
23	2002	S.-W. Chen	Analyzed HRV using wavelet for nonsustained VT.	Identified VT effectively.	Offers detailed frequency insight.	Needs fine-tuned wavelet parameters.

III. METHODOLOGY

To understand the progression and diversity of arrhythmia detection techniques, this survey analyzes three key methodologies applied in notable research works. Each method reflects a different approach to processing and interpreting ECG signals, ranging from statistical hypothesis testing to machine learning-based classification and time-frequency domain analysis. These methodologies are outlined and discussed below in detail.

1. Complexity-Based Hypothesis Testing

In the 2006 study by S.-W. Chen, arrhythmia detection is approached through the lens of signal complexity. This methodology centers around the idea that arrhythmic episodes introduce a higher level of unpredictability in the ECG signal when compared to normal sinus rhythms. The technique involves computing complexity measures—such as entropy or other nonlinear dynamics—to evaluate the degree of irregularity in the signal. These computed values are then utilized in a hypothesis testing framework, where the system determines whether a particular segment of ECG data aligns with normal physiological behavior or represents a deviation that may indicate arrhythmia.

One of the primary strengths of this method is its efficiency. Because the complexity metrics can often be calculated with relatively low computational overhead, the method is particularly suitable for real-time applications and deployment on embedded systems, such as wearable heart monitors or portable diagnostic devices. However, the technique may face challenges in detecting certain arrhythmias, especially those that do not produce significantly irregular signal patterns. Additionally, setting appropriate thresholds for hypothesis rejection requires careful calibration, as overly sensitive thresholds may lead to false positives, while insensitive ones could miss critical events.

2. Morphological and Interval-Based ECG Classification

The approach proposed by P. de Chazal et al. in 2004 is grounded in a detailed analysis of the morphological and temporal characteristics of the ECG waveform. This method begins with signal preprocessing to remove artifacts and normalize the waveform, followed by segmentation of the ECG signal into individual beats. Once segmented, the system extracts both morphological features—such as QRS width, peak amplitudes, and waveform shape—and interval features, including RR intervals and QT durations. These features are then input into a supervised classification algorithm, which is trained on annotated ECG data to distinguish between different types of beats, including normal and various arrhythmic categories.

This feature-based classification methodology is particularly effective when applied to datasets containing diverse ECG morphologies. The combination of shape and timing features allows the model to learn nuanced differences between beat types. However, the effectiveness of the classifier heavily relies on the quality and representativeness of the training data. If the model is applied to ECG signals with morphologies that differ significantly from those in the training set, its performance may degrade, necessitating additional training or model adaptation. Despite this limitation, the method remains highly reliable for applications where sufficient labeled data is available and offers excellent diagnostic granularity.

3. Wavelet-Based Heart Rate Variability (HRV) Analysis

In a 2002 study, S.-W. Chen investigated the use of wavelet transforms to analyze heart rate variability (HRV) as a means to detect nonsustained ventricular tachycardia (VT). This methodology capitalizes on the advantages of time-frequency analysis, which allows for the examination of nonstationary signals like HRV with localized precision. The wavelet transform decomposes the HRV signal into various frequency bands, revealing underlying autonomic nervous system dynamics that may precede or accompany arrhythmic events.

The benefit of using wavelet analysis lies in its ability to simultaneously retain time and frequency information, unlike Fourier-based approaches which only provide frequency-domain insights. This makes the wavelet method particularly suitable for capturing transient phenomena, such as short bursts of VT that may be missed by other techniques. However, the method requires careful selection of the wavelet function, number of decomposition levels, and scale parameters. Incorrect configuration can lead to poor signal representation or an overfitting of noise. As a result, this technique demands a deeper understanding of wavelet theory and empirical tuning, but offers high diagnostic value when optimized effectively.

These three methodologies—complexity-based detection, feature-driven classification, and time-frequency HRV analysis—represent distinct but complementary approaches to arrhythmia detection. While each method has its strengths tailored to specific clinical or technological requirements, combining insights from all three may contribute to more robust, accurate, and context-aware diagnostic systems for real-time ECG monitoring.

IV. Results and Discussion

The literature survey conducted on arrhythmia detection techniques provides a broad view of how research has progressed from traditional signal analysis methods to highly integrated machine learning and hardware-based implementations. Through the analysis of over 20 scholarly works published between 2002 and 2024, several patterns emerge regarding methodology effectiveness, computational efficiency, adaptability, and clinical relevance.

One of the most significant advancements has been observed in the domain of hardware-accelerated systems, particularly those utilizing VLSI implementations. Papers authored by Y.-H. Chen and Y.-L. Huang between 2020 and 2024 showcased the development of specialized chips capable of real-time arrhythmia detection using convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and Data-Shifting Neural Networks (DSNNs). These systems demonstrated outstanding processing speed and energy efficiency, making them ideal for continuous monitoring in wearable or portable healthcare devices. The data suggest that such approaches are not only fast but also capable of sustaining reliable detection over long durations. However, the primary trade-off lies in their fixed architecture, which often limits their adaptability to new or irregular arrhythmia patterns without hardware redesign.

In contrast, machine learning and deep learning-based techniques have offered more flexibility and accuracy in classifying complex and varied ECG patterns. Researchers like BalaKrishna et al. (2024) and Sangeetha et al. (2023) applied CNNs and Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) to ECG signal data, achieving consistently high classification accuracy across multiple types of arrhythmias, even in the presence of noise. These models exhibited strong feature extraction capabilities and performed well in diverse datasets. Furthermore, models trained on heartbeat sound signals—as explored by P. P. Kashyap et al. (2024)—opened avenues for non-invasive diagnosis using phonocardiograms. Despite their high potential, these methods require large, annotated datasets and considerable computational resources, especially during the training phase. Another critical limitation is their lack of interpretability, which poses challenges when integrating them into clinical settings where decision transparency is vital.

Signal processing-based methodologies, which dominated earlier works from 2002 to 2007, provided efficient and interpretable solutions. Techniques involving wavelet transforms, moving average filtering, and compressed sensing were used to extract features from ECG signals and HRV data. For instance, S.-W. Chen and colleagues extensively studied hypothesis testing, wavelet analysis, and reweighted compressed sensing for estimating HRV and detecting nonsustained ventricular tachycardia (VT). These approaches were particularly effective in resource-limited environments due to their lightweight computational footprint and the ability to operate in real-time. However, their accuracy and robustness are often tied to finely tuned parameters and can degrade in the presence of waveform variability or noise.[Table 2]

Table 2: Comparison of Techniques Based on Key Parameters

Methodology	Accuracy	Speed	Power Efficiency	Adaptability	Interpretability
Signal Processing	Moderate	High	High	Low	High
Machine Learning (ML)	High	Moderate	Moderate	High	Moderate
Deep Learning (DL)	Very High	Low–Moderate	Low	Very High	Low
VLSI/Embedded Systems	High	Very High	Very High	Low	Low
Clinical HRV-Based	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High

Clinical and translational studies provided a holistic viewpoint by integrating detection models into broader healthcare delivery frameworks. For example, works by Mediouni et al. and Kuo et al. discussed the use of HRV as a prognostic tool in patients with chronic illnesses such as kidney failure. These studies showed that HRV could serve as a long-term indicator of cardiac risk, especially in clinical settings requiring prolonged observation. While these models are valuable for risk prediction and chronic care, they are less suited for rapid arrhythmia detection or integration into real-time monitoring systems due to their dependence on long-term data.[Table 3]

Table 3: Highlights of Research

Author(s)	Year	Method Used	Highlights	Limitations
Y.-H. Chen et al.	2024	DSNN on VLSI	Real-time VLSI solution	Fixed design limits flexibility
S. S. Balakrishna et al.	2024	CNN on ECG	High accuracy with strong feature extraction	Needs large data and compute power
P. P. Kashyap et al.	2024	DL on heartbeat audio	Non-invasive, real-time capable	Sensitive to audio noise
S.-W. Chen	2002–2006	Wavelet, HRV, hypothesis testing	Detailed frequency analysis and real-time capability	Sensitive to noise, requires tuning
G. Kuo et al.	2018	HRV correlation with patient survival	Clinical impact in dialysis care	Needs long-term ECG data

Comparative analysis across methodologies reveals distinct strengths and limitations. VLSI-based systems excel in energy efficiency and speed but are limited in flexibility. Deep learning models offer high accuracy and robustness against noise but demand large-scale data and computational power. Signal processing methods, while interpretable and lightweight, require careful tuning and may not generalize well across patients. Clinical models contribute to long-term patient management but lack real-time responsiveness. These findings suggest that an ideal arrhythmia detection system might involve a hybrid model—leveraging the adaptability of machine learning, the speed of VLSI, and the clinical relevance of HRV metrics.

V. Conclusion

This literature survey highlights the wide range of methodologies developed for arrhythmia detection, from traditional signal processing and hypothesis testing to modern machine learning and hardware-based solutions. Classical methods offer simplicity and speed but struggle with complex patterns. Machine learning, particularly deep learning, improves accuracy and adaptability but requires high computational resources. Recent VLSI implementations show promise for real-time, low-power applications, though they may lack flexibility. No single method is universally ideal; future work should aim to combine the strengths of various techniques to create efficient, accurate, and accessible arrhythmia detection systems.

References

[1] Y.-H. Chen, H.-W. Jian, S.-Y. Lin, S.-W. Chen, and R.-S. Chen, "A Very Large-Scale Integration (VLSI) Chip Design for Abnormal Heartbeat Detection Using a Data-Shifting Neural Network (DSNN)," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. XX–XX, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3356590.

[2] S. S. BalaKrishna and B. S. Babu, "Arrhythmia detection using convolutional neural network," Int. J. Intell. Syst. Appl. Eng., vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 3264–3271, Mar. 2024.

[3] P. P. Kashyap, R. Madihalli, K. B. N., S. Kumar, R. K. B. N., and S. Rohith, "Neural network-based multi-class model for abnormal heartbeat audio signal detection," SN Comput. Sci., vol. 6, no. 1, 2024.

[4] Y. Chen, S.-Y. Lin, R.-S. Chen, P.-J. Chang, and H.-T. Huang, "A VLSI chip for the abnormal heartbeat detection using convolutional neural network," Sensors, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 796, Jan. 2023.

[5] S. R. G. Sangeetha, K. Anand, B. Sreevatsan, and V. K. A., "Cardiac arrhythmia detection using artificial neural network," arXiv Preprint, arXiv:2304.08162, 2023.

[6] M. Usman, R. Rana, and J. Qadir, "Phonocardiographic sensing using deep learning for abnormal heartbeat detection," arXiv Preprint, arXiv:1801.08322v4, 2023.

[7] Y.-H. Chen and Y. Juan, "Very-large-scale integration implementation of a convolutional neural network accelerator for abnormal heartbeat detection," Electron. Lett., vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 330–331, Mar. 2020.

[8] Y.-L. Huang, P.-J. Chang, and Y.-H. Chen, "Premature ventricular complex detection chip obtained using convolution neural network," in Proc. Int. Conf. Artif. Intell. Inf. Commun. (ICAIIC), Feb. 2020, pp. 482–484.

[9] M. Mediouni, R. Madiouni, M. Gardner, and N. Vaughan, "Translational medicine: Challenges and new orthopaedic vision (Mediouni-model)," Current Orthopaedic Pract., vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 196–200, Dec. 2019.

[10] G. Kuo, S.-W. Chen, J.-Y. Huang, C.-Y. Wu, C.-M. Fu, C.-H. Chang, S.-H. Liu, Y.-H. Chan, I.-W. Wu, and H.-Y. Yang, "Short-term heart rate variability as a predictor of long-term survival in patients with chronic hemodialysis: A prospective cohort study," J. Formosan Med. Assoc., vol. 117, no. 12, pp. 1058–1064, Dec. 2018.

[11] M. Mediouni, D. R. Schlatterer, H. Madry, M. Cucchiarini, and B. Rai, "A review of translational medicine. The future paradigm: How can we connect the orthopedic dots better?" Current Med. Res. Opinion, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1217–1229, Jul. 2018.

[12] N. Bayasi, T. Tekeste, H. Saleh, B. Mohammad, A. Khandoker, and M. Ismail, "Low-power ECG-based processor for predicting ventricular arrhythmia," IEEE Trans. Very Large Scale Integr. (VLSI) Syst., vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 1962–1974, May 2016.

[13] S.-Y. Lee, J.-H. Hong, C.-H. Hsieh, M.-C. Liang, S.-Y. Chang Chien, and K.-H. Lin, "Low-power wireless ECG acquisition and classification system for body sensor networks," IEEE J. Biomed. Health Informat., vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 236–246, Jan. 2015.

[14] Q. Li, C. Rajagopalan, and G. D. Clifford, "Ventricular fibrillation and tachycardia classification using a machine learning approach," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 1607–1613, Jun. 2014.

[15] S.-W. Chen and S.-C. Chao, "A reweighted ℓ1-minimization based compressed sensing for the spectral estimation of heart rate variability using the unevenly sampled data," PLoS ONE, vol. 9, no. 6, Jun. 2014, Art. no. e99098.

[16] S.-W. Chen and S.-C. Chao, "Compressed sensing technology-based spectral estimation of heart rate variability using the integral pulse frequency modulation model," IEEE J. Biomed. Health Informat., vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 1081–1090, May 2014.

[17] O. Sayadi, M. B. Shamsollahi, and G. D. Clifford, "Robust detection of premature ventricular contractions using a wave-based Bayesian framework," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 353–362, Feb. 2010.

[18] A. Amann, R. Tratnig, and K. Unterkofler, "Detecting ventricular fibrillation by time-delay methods," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 174–177, Jan. 2007.

[19] J. Pardey, "Detection of ventricular fibrillation by sequential hypothesis testing of binary sequences," in Proc. Comput. Cardiol., Sep. 2007, pp. 573–576.

[20] S.-W. Chen, H.-C. Chen, and H.-L. Chan, "A real-time QRS detection method based on moving-averaging incorporating with wavelet denoising," Comput. Methods Programs Biomed., vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 187–195, Jun. 2006.

[21] S.-W. Chen, "Complexity-measure-based sequential hypothesis testing for real-time detection of lethal cardiac arrhythmias," EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Process., vol. 2007, no. 1, p. 20957, Dec. 2006.

[22] P. de Chazal, M. O'Dwyer, and R. B. Reilly, "Automatic classification of heartbeats using ECG morphology and heartbeat interval features," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 1196–1206, Jul. 2004.

[23] S.-W. Chen, "A wavelet-based heart rate variability analysis for the study of nonsustained ventricular tachycardia," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 736–742, Jul. 2002.

[24] S-W. Chen, "A two-stage discrimination of cardiac arrhythmias using a total least squares-based Prony modeling algorithm," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 1317–1327, Oct. 2000.

[25] A. L. Goldberger, L. A. N. Amaral, L. Glass, J. M. Hausdorff, P. C. Ivanov, R. G. Mark, J. E. Mietus, G. B. Moody, C.-K. Peng, and H. E. Stanley, "PhysioBank, PhysioToolkit, and PhysioNet: Components of a new research resource for complex physiologic signals," Circulation, vol. 101, no. 23, pp. e215–e220, Jun. 2000.

[26] X.-S. Zhang, Y.-S. Zhu, N. V. Thakor, and Z.-Z. Wang, "Detecting ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation by complexity measure," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 548–555, May 1999.