

NORMALITY OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS BESIDES SHARING VALUES

¹SREEJIL K, ²RAJAKUMARI N

¹Research Scholar, ²Assistant Professor
Ponnaiyah Ramajayam Institute of Science and Technology

ABSTRACT: Certain gauges to determine the normality of group F of meromorphic functions in piece circle, which dividends values dependent on $f \in F$ with their derivatives is acquired.

Keywords: Meromorphic function; Normal family; Sharing values

I. INTRODUCTION

Let f be meromorphic in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$: For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$E_f(a) = \{z \in D: f(z) = a\} = f^{-1}(\{a\}) \cap D,$$

and let

$$E_f(\infty) = \{z \in D: z \text{ is a pole of } f\}.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, the prolonged multifarious plane, dual functions f and g meromorphic in D remain said to distribute the value a if $E_f(a) = E_g(a)$.

If f and g are not a persistent meromorphic functions in \mathbb{C} that share five values then $f \equiv g$.

The overhead is illustrious outcome of Nevanlinna [4]. Several authors established numerous associations amid f and g uncertainly share themselves littler than 5 values [5, 10, 11]. Correspondingly the association amid their progressions was acquired while they share values [2, 8] or small functions [7, 16]. Functions which share values with their derivatives and the association amid among them was also discussed [9]. Moreover, some differential polynomials of meromorphic functions which share values was considered. Initially, Schwick [14] is undoubtedly identified a link amid the normality criterion and shared values of meromorphic functions. He substantiated the subsequent theorem:

THEOREM A Let F be a family of meromorphic functions in the unit disc Δ , and let a_1, a_2, a_3 be distinct complex numbers. If f and f_0 share a_1, a_2 and a_3 for every $f \in F$, then F is normal in Δ .

The concepts on shared values and normality was already utilized by numerous additional researchers [13]. Pang and Zalcman [12] substantiated the subsequent theorem:

THEOREM B Let F be a family of meromorphic functions on the unit disc Δ and let a and b be distinct complex numbers and c be a nonzero complex number. If for every $f \in F$,

$$E_f(0) = E_f(a) \quad \text{and} \quad E_f(c) = E_f(b),$$

where

$$E_f(a) = \{z \in \Delta: f(z) = a\},$$

then F is normal in Δ .

In Theorem B, the constants a, b, c are the same for every $f \in F$. We will demonstrate that the condition for the constants to be the same can be loose to some degree. Therefore we will consider constants a_f, b_f, c_f relying upon f . Obviously, these can't be taken discretionarily, and it is basic that the proportion $(a_f b_f / c_f^2)$ be steady and the focuses be consistently isolated. Indeedly, the accompanying theorem is elucidated

THEOREM 1 Let F be a family of meromorphic functions in the unit disc Δ . For each $f \in F$ let a_f, b_f, c_f be distinct nonzero complex numbers such that $(a_f b_f / c_f^2) = M$ for some constant M . Let the spherical distance σ between the points a_f, b_f, c_f satisfy $\min\{\sigma(a_f, b_f), \sigma(b_f, c_f), \sigma(c_f, a_f)\} \geq m$

for some $m > 0$: Let $E_f(0) = E_f(a_f)$ and $E_f(c_f) = E_f(b_f)$. Let $M = (ab/c^2)$, where a, b, c are distinct. If the elements of $E_f(c_f)$ and $E_f(0)$ are the only solutions of

$$f'(z) = \frac{a_f b_f}{a} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{c_f} - \frac{a}{c a_f} \right) f(z) \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

and

$$f'(z) = a_f \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{c_f} - \frac{a}{ca_f} \right) f(z) \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

respectively. Then F is normal in Δ .

Note that the elements of $E_f(c_f)$ and $E_f(0)$ do satisfy (1) and (2), respectively.

Aimed at constraint that $a_f = 0$, b_f and c_f are distinct nonzero complex numbers depending on f , the subsequent dual theorems are substantiated,

THEOREM 2 Let F be a family of meromorphic functions in the unit disc Δ : For each $f \in F$ suppose there exist nonzero complex numbers b_f, c_f satisfying

- (i) b_f/c_f is a constant
- (ii) $\min\{\sigma(0, b_f), \sigma(0, c_f), \sigma(b_f, c_f)\} \geq m$ for some $m > 0$
- (iii) $E_f(0) = E_f(b_f)$ and $E_f(c_f) = E_f(b_f)$

Then F is normal in Δ .

THEOREM 3 Let F be a family of meromorphic functions in the unit disc Δ . For each $f \in F$ suppose there exist nonzero complex numbers b_f, c_f satisfying

- (i) b_f/c_f is a constant
- (ii) $\min\{\sigma(0, b_f), \sigma(0, c_f), \sigma(b_f, c_f)\} \geq m$ for some $m > 0$
- (iii) $E_f(0) = E_f(b_f)$ and $E_f(c_f) = E_f(c_f)$

Then F is normal in Δ .

II. PROOF OF THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 1: For each $f \in F$, define a Mobius map g_f by

$$g_f(z) = \frac{z}{Az + B},$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{c_f} - \frac{a}{ca_f} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \frac{a}{a_f}.$$

Then clearly

$$g_f^{-1}(z) = \frac{Bz}{1 - Az} \quad \text{and} \quad (g_f^{-1})'(z) = \frac{B}{(1 - Az)^2}$$

so that

$$g_f^{-1}(0) = 0, \quad g_f^{-1}(c_f) = c, \quad (g_f^{-1})'(0) = \frac{a}{a_f}, \quad (g_f^{-1})'(c_f) = \frac{b}{b_f}.$$

Now if z_1 is such that $F(z_1) = c_f$ then since $E_f(c_f) = E_f(b_f)$ we have $f'(z_1) = b_f$ and so $(g_f^{-1} \circ f)(z_1) = g_f^{-1}(c_f) = c$ and $(g_f^{-1} \circ f)'(z_1) = (g_f^{-1})'(f(z_1))f'(z_1) = (g_f^{-1})'(c_f)b_f = b$.

We now show that $E_{g_f^{-1} \circ f}(c) = E_{(g_f^{-1} \circ f)'(b)}$. Let $z_0 \in E_{g_f^{-1} \circ f}(c)$. Then $(g_f^{-1} \circ f)(z_0) = c = g_f^{-1}(c_f)$. Also g_f^{-1} being a Mobius map, is one-to-one so that $f(z_0) = c_f$ and so $f'(z_0) = b_f$. Thus $z_0 \in E_{(g_f^{-1} \circ f)'(b)}$.

Next let $z_1 \in E_{(g_f^{-1} \circ f)'(b)}$. Then $(g_f^{-1})'(f(z_1))f'(z_1) = b$ and so

$$f'(z_1) = \frac{a_f b}{a} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{c_f} - \frac{a}{ca_f} \right) f(z_1) \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

Since only the elements of $E_f(c_f)$ satisfy (7), it follows that $z_1 \in E_f(c_f)$ and so $z_1 \in E_{g_f^{-1} \circ f}(c)$. Thus $E_{(g_f^{-1} \circ f)'(b)} \subset E_{g_f^{-1} \circ f}(c)$.

Next if $f(w_0) = 0$ then $f'(w_0) = a_f$ and so $(g_f^{-1} \circ f)(w_0) = 0$ and $(g_f^{-1} \circ f)'(w_0) = a$. Following a similar argument to the above we can show that $E_{g_f^{-1} \circ f}(0) = E_{(g_f^{-1} \circ f)'(a)}$.

Thus by Theorem B, the family $\mathcal{G} = \{(g_f^{-1} \circ f) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal and hence equicontinuous in Δ . Therefore give $(\epsilon/k_m) > 0$, where k_m is the constant of Theorem E, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that for the spherical distance $\sigma(x, y) < \delta$,

$$\sigma((g_f^{-1} \circ f)(x), (g_f^{-1} \circ f)(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{k_m}$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence by Theorem E, for $\sigma(x, y) < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(f(x), f(y)) &= \sigma((g_f \circ g_f^{-1} \circ f)(x), (g_f \circ g_f^{-1} \circ f)(y)) \\ &\leq k_m \sigma((g_f^{-1} \circ f)(x), (g_f^{-1} \circ f)(y)) \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the family \mathcal{F} is equicontinuous in Δ . This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorems 2 and 3 For each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, define a Mobius map g_f by $g_f(z) = (c_f/c)z$. The condition that $E_f(c_f) = E_{f'}(b_f)$, g_f^{-1} is one-to-one and $g_f^{-1}(c_f) = c$ ensure that $E_{g_f^{-1} \circ f}(c) = E_{(g_f^{-1} \circ f)}(b)$.

Also $E_{g_f^{-1} \circ f}(0) = E_{(g_f^{-1} \circ f)}(0)$ is a consequence of $E_f(0) = E_{f'}(0)$.

Applying Theorem B with $a = 0$, the family $\{(g_f^{-1} \circ f) | f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ . As in Theorem 1, it now follows that the family \mathcal{F} is normal in Δ .

For the proof of Theorem 3, we utilize $E_f(0) = E_{f'}(b_f)$ and $E_f(c_f) = E_{f'}(c_f)$ to show that $E_{g_f^{-1} \circ f}(0) = E_{(g_f^{-1} \circ f)}(b)$ and $E_{g_f^{-1} \circ f}(c) = E_{(g_f^{-1} \circ f)}(c)$ and apply Theorem B with a non-zero $b = c$ distinct from a with c nonzero. Theorem 3 now follows as above.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.F. Beardon (1991). Iteration of Rational Functions. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [2] H. Chen and X. Hua (2000). Normal families concerning shared values. Israel. J. Math., 115, 355–362.
- [3] Chuang Chi Tai (1993). Normal Families of Meromorphic Functions. World Scientific, Singapore.
- [4] T.P. Czubiak and G.G. Gundersen (1997). Meromorphic functions that share values. Complex Var., 34, 35–46.
- [5] M.L. Fang and H. Guo (1997). On meromorphic functions sharing two values. Analysis, 17, 355–366.
- [6] Y.X. Gu (1979). A criterion of normality of families of meromorphic functions. Scientia Sinica, Special issue (I), 267–274.
- [7] G.G. Gundersen (1983). Meromorphic functions that share two finite values with their derivatives. Pacific J. Math., 105, 299–309.
- [8] G.G. Gundersen (1983). Meromorphic functions that share four values. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 277, 545–567.
- [9] G.G. Gundersen (1998). Entire functions that share one value with one or two of their derivatives. J. Math. Analysis Appl., 223, 88–95.
- [10] E. Mues (1989). Meromorphic functions sharing four values. Complex Var., 12, 169–179.
- [11] R. Nevanlinna (1929). Le the'ore' me de Picard-Borel et la the'orie des fonctions me'romorphes. Gauthiers-Villars, Paris.
- [12] X. Pang and L. Zalcman (2000). Normality and shared values. Arkiv Math., 38, 171–182.
- [13] X. Pang and L. Zalcman (2000). Normal families and shared values. Bull. London Math. Soc., 32, 325–331.
- [14] W. Schwick (1992). Sharing values and normality. Arch. Math. (Basel), 59, 50–54.